

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/540,977 06/27/2005		06/27/2005	Tomohiko Taniguchi	2005_0955A	9267	
513	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	-	ND & PONACK, L	PENDLETON, BRIAN T			
2033 K STR SUITE 800	EEI N. V	V.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	TON, DC	20006-1021	2615			
				DATE MAILED: 09/06/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comment	10/540,	977	TANIGUCHI, TO	моніко				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit					
			Pendleton	2615					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ition appears on t	he cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI assions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. ory period will apply and , by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MONT pplication to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this (ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>6/27/05</i> .							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	·								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims	·	•						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)🖂	Claim(s) 11-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)	0)								
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. N	Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International								
* 5	ee the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the cer	tified copies not r	eceived.					
					,				
Attachmen			🗖		•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948)		ummary (PTO-413) VMail Date					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date			formal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/540,977

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I – Figure 1

Species II – Figure 3

Species III – Figure 6

Species IV – Figure 7

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/540,977

Art Unit: 2615

Claims 11-13, 16-18, and 21-24 are directed toward Species I.

Claims 14 and 15 are directed toward Species II.

Claim 19 is directed toward Species III.

Claim 20 is directed toward Species IV.

The following claim(s) are generic: There are no generic claims.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: They perform different functions under different operating conditions.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2615

1- 2.2

btp